



Response Under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 - Expedited Procedure  
Examining Group 1642

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to BOX AF, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on *November 19/2002*

By: *Margary M. Lassau*Printed: *Margary M. Lassau*

#  
13115  
to  
123-02-

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE****RECEIVED**

NOV 27 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

In re Application of: Yue et al.

Title: INTELECTIN

Serial No.: 09/771,503

Filing Date:

January 26, 2001

Examiner: Canella, K.

Group Art Unit: 1642

**BOX AF**

Commissioner for Patents  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

*Ok to enter - KA ✓*

**RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated October 2, 2002 (Final Office Action) Applicants request reconsideration of the above-referenced patent application in view of the following remarks.

**REMARKS****Rejection of Claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101/1112 First Paragraph**

The Examiner stated that claims 1-13 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well-established utility is maintained for the reasons of record. Likewise, the Examiner stated, claims 1-13 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons of record.

The Examiner stated that the data in Table 1 of the specification does not support applicant's argument that the majority of patients having colon disorders such as colon cancer or polyps showed